

Primality of Repunit  
A small reduction of the exponent  
*ver. 1.0 - Jan 2011*

Giovanni Di Maria  
93100 Caltanissetta – Sicilia  
Italia

email: [calimero22@yahoo.it](mailto:calimero22@yahoo.it)

**Abstract**

A repunit is a number consisting of copies of the single digit 1. Examples of repunit are 11, 1111, 11111. A repunit prime is a repunit that is also a prime number.

In base 10, repunits have the form:  $\frac{(10^p-1)}{9}$  where  $p$  is a prime number.

Usually, people use Fermat's little theorem, to test the pseudo-primality of Repunit numbers. I remember that this theorem states that if  $p$  is a prime number, then for any integer  $a$ ,  $a^p - a$  will be evenly divisible by  $p$ . This can be expressed in the notation of modular arithmetic as follows:

$$a^{Rp} \equiv a \pmod{Rp}$$

A variant of this theorem is stated in the following form: if  $p$  is a prime and  $a$  is an integer coprime to  $p$ , then  $a^{(p-1)} - 1$  will be evenly divisible by  $p$ . In the notation of modular arithmetic:

$$a^{Rp-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{Rp}$$

**In this paper, i show that the exponent can be reduced a bit.**

## 1 Introduction

- 1) Let  $p$  be a prime number;
- 2) Let  $R_p$  be  $\frac{(10^p-1)}{9}$  (Repunit);

In order to reduce the exponent, i can say that  $R_p$  is a probable prime number if:

$$2^{\frac{R_p-1}{2^p}} \equiv 10^n \pmod{R_p}$$

where  $n \in \{1..p\}$

In other words, i can say that:

- If the residual is in the form  $10^n$  (where  $1 \leq n \leq p$ ), then  $R_p$  is a probable prime number;
- If base-10 logarithm of the residual is integer, then  $R_p$  is a probable prime number.

## 2 Results

Now i show you the residuals of the formula:

$$2^{\frac{Rp-1}{2p}} \equiv 10^n \pmod{Rp}$$

on known Repunit Primes (and probable primes) in the following table.

| $R_p$        | $2^{\frac{Rp-1}{2p}} \pmod{Rp}$ | Expansion                          |
|--------------|---------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| $R_{19}$     | $10^8$                          | 100,000,000                        |
| $R_{23}$     | $10^{12}$                       | 1,000,000,000,000                  |
| $R_{317}$    | $10^{62}$                       | 100.....00000<br>Too large to show |
| $R_{1031}$   | $10^{697}$                      | 100.....00000<br>Too large to show |
| $R_{49081}$  | $10^{46706}$                    | 100.....00000<br>Too large to show |
| $R_{86453}$  | $10^{22373}$                    | 100.....00000<br>Too large to show |
| $R_{109297}$ | $10^{92105}$                    | 100.....00000<br>Too large to show |
| $R_{270343}$ | $10^{183100}$                   | 100.....00000<br>Too large to show |

### 3 Comparative table of the exponents

I compare here the size of exponents, represented in binary notation. In other words, i count the number of bits.

The two formulas to compare are:

$$a^{Rp} \equiv a \pmod{Rp}$$

versus

$$2^{\frac{Rp-1}{2p}} \equiv 10^n \pmod{Rp}$$

| <b>R<sub>p</sub></b> | Size of exponent $Rp$<br>(number of bits) | Size of exponent $\frac{Rp-1}{2p}$<br>(number of bits) | Bits saved |
|----------------------|---|--|------------|
| R <sub>19</sub>      | 60  | 55   | 5          |
| R <sub>23</sub>      | 74  | 68   | 6          |
| R <sub>317</sub>     | 1050                                      | 1041   | 9          |
| R <sub>1031</sub>    | 3422                                      | 3411   | 11         |
| R <sub>49081</sub>   | 163041                                    | 163024   | 17         |
| R <sub>86453</sub>   | 287188                                    | 287171   | 17         |
| R <sub>109297</sub>  | 363074                                    | 363056   | 18         |
| R <sub>270343</sub>  | 898057                                    | 898038   | 19         |

## **4 Conclusions**

By this formula, saving time and calculations is very small. It does not help to solve the problems of primality. However, i have found a way to reduce the exponent a bit. Excuse my English, not very good, but I'm Italian.

Good luck to all.

Giovanni Di Maria  
Caltanissetta, Jan 3, 2011